## Assessment matrix for [Project Title]

## Project Detalls

| Project/Programme Name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project/Programme Sponsor |  |
| Project/Programme Manager |  |
| Start Date |  |
| Completion Date |  |

## Document Detalls

| Version | Status <br> (Draft or <br> Approved) | Date | Author/Editor | Details of Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V1 | Draft | $17 / 10 / 16$ | GB | Initial |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## The Project Assessmenttool

The Project Assessment Matrix is used to assess the complexity within a project, and to give it a weighting so that it can be defined as minor, medium or major.
It is a four step process, described in full below.
Resources will also have an impact on priorities, either in terms of operating within the budget for on incompatible demands onkey staff. This is a complex process and may best be achieved through a facilitated workshop.

## 1. How to use the Project Assessment tool

## Step 1: Does it meet the base criteria?

First, you should decide whether the proposed piece of work fits the base criteria for a project. A project, simply put, is a piece of work to produce a specific, one-off product of some kind that is not part of routine work.
Assembling a car on a production line is not a project because although the output (a car) is a product and it is specific, it is not one-off. However building a kit car in your garage is a project because it fulfils all three criteria.

## Step 2: Project Impact (page 4)

For each of the three criteria listed in column 1, decide where the project fits - for example if the expected Financial ROI (return on investment) is between three to four years you would score 2 against this criterion.

Add up all the scores according to the column in which each selection sits - the result should fall between the possible minimum of 0 (everything scoring 0 points) and maximum of 15 (everything scoring 5 points).

## Step 3: Decide on level of complexity (page 5)

Consider the overall complexity of the project. You follow a similar process to that outlined in steps $1.2-1.3$ but using the complexity grid on page 5 instead.
The grid on page 5 does not explicitly include a zero score column, but zero scores are still possible - e.g. if delivery timescale is less than a month you would score zero against Delivery Timescale.
Add up all the scores according to the column in which each selection sits - the result should fall between the possible minimum of 0 (everything scoring 0 points) and maximum of 24 (everything scoring 4 points).

## Step 4: Decide on project type (page 6)

Enter the outcomes from steps 2 and 3 on the gird on page 6. Depending on which zone your project falls into, you can now determine whether it is Not a Project, Minor, Medium or Major.
All Major projects should be linked to the relevant strategic Corporate Priorities and treated as corporate projects, even if they are being managed within a single service plan - this is because they will inevitably have links and impacts beyond the boundaries of a single service. IT-related projects will be overseen by the CMT

Medium projects will often be of the "tactical" type, and if accepted by the relevant governance body (Corporate Management Team), can be managed independently (via an appropriate project board) within the relevant service. However, some Medium
projects will have importance or impact beyond their immediate "service", and the governance body should consider whether to include specific Medium projects in the relevant Corporate Priorities (in particular, those scoring within two or three points of the upper boundary).

Minor projects will almost always be "tactical", and can be managed independently of corporate governance within the relevant service via an appropriate project board.

Not a Project simply means that although it fulfils the "project" criteria in step 1, it is not complex or impactful enough to need to be managed as a formal projecti, though of course you can still use a light-touch project management approach.

Once you have completed the matrix you may delete the two pages of instructions (pages 2 and 3 ) in order to present your assessment as part of the Project Brief.

## 2. Guidance on use of the Assessment Matrix criteria:

- Strategic contribution - systems that have to be delivered in order to deliver agreed Corporate Priorities. For an impact score of 3 or 4 points it must be possible to demonstrate within the Business Case that the project has a direct impact upon the achievement of the priorities (i.e. it isn't simply linked to them; the corporate priorities are to some extent dependent upon the project in order to be achieved).
- Return On Investment and timescale - at the initial stages of project planning it is usually difficult to provide accurate estimates of ROI and timescale. Thus if a project's estimate is close to an upper boundary, it will be safer to score it in the higher level since projects usually end up costing more (i.e. having a lesser ROI) and taking longer than originally anticipated.
- Organisational effectiveness - factors to consider are the degree to which existing business processes will improve and whether major restructuring may be required. Do not consider cost savings here as this is built into the ROI criterion and you must avoid double counting.
- Operational change - this is closely allied to Organisational effectiveness, but whereas the former looks at impact in terms of positive improvement, Operational change looks at the issue of how much change is happening (the greater the degree of change, the more difficult it will be to achieve). For example if you have scored 3 against Organisational effectiveness (Improves work of whole service) it's extremely unlikely that you will score less than 3 on Operational change.


## Project impact assessment matrix

| Criteria | Score 0 | Score 1 |  | Score 2 | Score 3 | Score 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Score 5

Current Corporate Priorities:-

- A Clean and Welcoming Environment
- Supporting Neighborhoods
- Promoting Inward Investment and Job Creation

Current Corporate Values:-

- Deliver Value for Money
- Supporting the Workforce
- Promoting Open Communication
$\square$

| Criteria | Score 1 | Score 2 | Score 3 | Score 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delivery Timescale in <br> months $-10 \%$ | $1-6$ | $6-12$ | $12-18$ | $>18$ |
| Stakeholders <br> $20 \%$ | Internal and within single <br> business area | Internal across more <br> than one business area | Mainly external | Internal and external |
| Operational change <br> $15 \%$ | Very minimal | Some new business <br> processes and possible <br> some re-training | Significant re-structure <br> of processes and work <br> areas | Major change eg large <br> scale restructure, <br> outsourcing |
| Contract complexity <br> $20 \%$ | No new contracts <br> required | Single contract with <br> known supplier | Multiple contracts with <br> known suppliers | Contract(s) with new <br> suppliers(s) |
| In-house expertise <br> $20 \%$ | Have done this before <br> many times | Have done this before <br> once or twice | Havedonesimilar <br> before, but not the same | Have not done anything <br> like this before |
| Dependencies | Very minimal links with <br> other projects | Links with other projects <br> but little impact | Links with other projects <br> upon which this project <br> depends | Other projects depend <br> upon this project |



